

Plaintiff also requests that the Court appoint her an attorney. Plaintiff maintains that her health and limited financial resources prevent her from hiring counsel. However, “[i]ndigent civil litigants do not have a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel.” Davis v. Scott, 94 F.3d 444, 447 (8th Cir. 1996). “The trial court has broad discretion to decide whether both the plaintiff and the court will benefit from the appointment of counsel.” Id. At this time, no such benefit is apparent. Therefore, the request for appointment of counsel will be denied without prejudice to reassertion.

Plaintiff’s Application for Court-Appointed Counsel also contains a request for temporary injunctive relief. This request will be denied. Plaintiff’s Request to Put Progression Order on Hold ([filing 41](#)) pending a ruling on Plaintiff’s motion for counsel will be denied as moot.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time to Answer Admissions Late and Produce Documents ([filing 40](#)) is granted. **Plaintiff shall provide full responses to Defendants’ discovery requests by October 27, 2015.**
2. Plaintiff’s Application for Court-Appointed Counsel ([filing 42](#)) is denied without prejudice to reassertion. Plaintiff’s request for injunctive relief is denied at this time.
3. Plaintiff’s Request to Put Progression Order on Hold ([filing 41](#)) is denied as moot.
4. Defendants’ Motion to Compel ([filing 43](#)) is granted, in part. **Plaintiff shall provide full responses to Defendants’ discovery requests by October 27, 2015.**
5. The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff at her address of record.

DATED October 13, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

**S/ F.A. Gossett
United States Magistrate Judge**